Derivation of cross-diffusion models from the multi-species Boltzmann equation in the diffusive scaling

Bérénice GREC¹

in collaboration with A. Bondesan, L. Boudin, M. Briant, V. Pavan, F. Salvarani

¹MAP5 – Université de Paris, France

Séminaire Analyse numérique - Equations aux dérivées partielles, Lille, 2 décembre 2021







Context of the study

- Non-reactive mixture of p monoatomic gases
- ▶ Isothermal setting *T* > 0 uniform and constant
- Two different scales for the description of the mixture
 - mesoscopic scale (kinetic model): species i described by its distribution function f_i(t, x, v)
 - macroscopic scale: species i described by the physical observables
 - number density n_i(t, x)
 - velocity u_i(t, x)

 \rightsquigarrow flux of species i: $J_i(t, x) = n_i(t, x)u_i(t, x)$

 $\rightsquigarrow \text{ vectorial quantities } \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_p \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 \\ \vdots \\ J_p \end{bmatrix}$

- Link between the two scales in the diffusive scaling
 - Formal and theoretical convergence
 - Numerical scheme describing both scales

Diffusion models for mixtures: Maxwell-Stefan/Fick

Mass conservation:

 $\partial_t \mathbf{n} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{0}$

Diffusion process (link between **J** and ∇ **n**):



A(n) and B(n) are not invertible (rank p-1)

• Using Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse: structural similarity [GIOVANGIGLI '91, '99]

Formal analogy of the two systems, but Fick and Maxwell-Stefan are not obtained in the same way

Maxwell-Stefan vs. Fick (macroscopic point of view)

Obtention of the Maxwell-Stefan equations

- Mechanical considerations on forces (balance of pressure and friction forces)
- Assumption: different species have different macroscopic velocities on macroscopic time scales

Obtention of the Fick equations

- Thermodynamics of irreversible processes (entropy decay) [Onsager]
- Thermodynamical considerations on fluxes, written (close to equilibrium) as linear combinations of potential gradients
 - nonreactive isothermal setting \rightsquigarrow chemical potential gradients
 - ▶ ideal gases ~→ (number) density gradients

Mesoscopic point of view

- How to justify these two (different) equations from the (same) kinetic description?
- In which regime are these macroscopic models valid?

Moment method (Maxwell-Stefan)

 Based on the ansatz that the distribution functions are at local Maxwellian states [Levermore], [Müller, Ruggieri]

Perturbative method (Fick)

 Based on the Chapman-Enskog expansion [Bardos, Golse, Levermore], [Bisi, Desvillettes]

Kinetic setting

▶ Boltzmann equations for mixtures on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_i = \sum_{k=1}^p Q_{ik}(f_i, f_k), \qquad 1 \le i \le p$$

[Desvillettes, Monaco, Salvarani, '05]

• Boltzmann collision operator, for $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$Q_{ik}(f_i, f_k)(\mathbf{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathcal{B}_{ik}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_*, \sigma) \Big[f_i(\mathbf{v}') f_k(\mathbf{v}'_*) - f_i(\mathbf{v}) f_k(\mathbf{v}_*) \Big] \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_*$$

▶ Elastic collision rules, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$

$$\begin{cases} v' = (m_i v + m_k v_* + m_k | v - v_* | \sigma) / (m_i + m_k) \\ v'_* = (m_i v + m_k v_* - m_i | v - v_* | \sigma) / (m_i + m_k) \end{cases}$$

• Cross sections $\mathcal{B}_{ik} = \mathcal{B}_{ki} > 0$

Properties of the collision operator

Equilibrium: Maxwellian with same bulk velocity and temperature

$$n_i(t,x)\left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_BT}\right)^{d/2}\exp\left(-\frac{m_i|v-u(t,x)|^2}{2k_BT}\right)$$

▶ Conservation properties of the collision operator for $1 \le i, k \le p$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_{ik}(f_i, f_k)(v) \, \mathrm{d}v &= 0\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_{ii}(f_i, f_i)(v) v \, \mathrm{d}v &= 0\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(m_i Q_{ik}(f_i, f_k)(v) + m_k Q_{ki}(f_k, f_i)(v) \right) v \, \mathrm{d}v &= 0 \end{split}$$

Weak form:

$$\int Q_{ik}(f_i, f_k)(v) \psi(v) dv = \iiint \mathcal{B}_{ik} f_i(v) f_k(v_*) \left[\psi(v') - \psi(v) \right] d\sigma dv_* dv$$

Outline of the talk

Introduction

2 Moment method

- Moment method
- Asymptotic-Preserving numerical scheme
- Numerical results

B) Perturbative method

- 4 Stiff dissipative hyperbolic formalism
- 5 Conclusion and prospects

Moment method

Diffusive scaling: small mean free path and Mach number: Kn \sim Ma $\sim \varepsilon$

Moments of the distribution functions

Number density of species i

$$n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v$$

$$J_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \, u_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v$$

Ansatz: the distribution function of each species *i* is at a local Maxwellian state with a small velocity of order ε for any $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$

$$f_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) = n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |v - \varepsilon u_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x)|^2}{2k_B T}\right)$$

Macroscopic diffusion equations

Diffusive scaling: small mean free path and Mach number: Kn \sim Ma $\sim \varepsilon$

$$\varepsilon \partial_t f_i^{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_i^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^p Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon}), \qquad 1 \le i \le p$$

Mass conservation: moment of order 0

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \, \mathrm{d} v \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \, \mathrm{d} v \right) = 0,$$

where the collision term vanishes (conservation property).

Formal limit

$$n_i(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x), \qquad J_i(t,x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) u_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \partial_t n_i + \nabla_x \cdot J_i = 0$$

Computation of the divergence term

Momentum equation: moment of order 1

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v + \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left(v \cdot \nabla_x f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \right) \, \mathrm{d}v \right] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k \neq i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \, Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon})(v) \, \mathrm{d}v$$

where the mono-species collision term vanishes (conservation property).

Use of the Ansatz, translation in v

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\int \mathsf{v} \otimes \mathsf{v} \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(\mathsf{v}) \, \mathrm{d} \mathsf{v}\right) \propto \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\mathbf{n}_i^{\varepsilon} \int (\mathsf{v} + \varepsilon u_i^{\varepsilon}) \otimes (\mathsf{v} + \varepsilon u_i^{\varepsilon}) e^{-\mathbf{m}_i |\mathsf{v}|^2/2kT} \mathrm{d} \mathsf{v}\right)$$

In terms of macroscopic quantities

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\int \mathsf{v} \otimes \mathsf{v} \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(\mathsf{v}) \, \mathrm{d} \mathsf{v} \right) = \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \mathsf{n}_i^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2 \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\mathsf{n}_i^{\varepsilon} \, u_i^{\varepsilon} \otimes u_i^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

Computation of the divergence term

Momentum equation: moment of order 1

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v + \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \left(v \cdot \nabla_x f_i^{\varepsilon}(v) \right) \, \mathrm{d}v \right] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k \neq i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \, Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon})(v) \, \mathrm{d}v$$

where the mono-species collision term vanishes (conservation property).

• Use of the Ansatz, translation in v + parity argument

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\int \mathsf{v} \otimes \mathsf{v} \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(\mathsf{v}) \, \mathrm{d} \mathsf{v} \right) \propto \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \left(\mathsf{n}_i^{\varepsilon} \int \left(\mathsf{v} \otimes \mathsf{v} + \varepsilon^2 u_i^{\varepsilon} \otimes u_i^{\varepsilon} \right) e^{-\mathsf{m}_i |\mathsf{v}|^2/2kT} \mathrm{d} \mathsf{v} \right)$$

In terms of macroscopic quantities

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(\int \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \, f_i^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v} \right) = \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_i^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(n_i^{\varepsilon} \, u_i^{\varepsilon} \otimes u_i^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

Computation of the collision term

For Maxwell molecules : $\mathcal{B}_{ik}(v, v_*, \sigma) = b_{ik}(\theta)$

• Weak form with $\psi(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + \text{collision rules}$

$$\int v Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon})(v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \frac{m_k}{m_i + m_k} \iiint b_{ik}(\theta) f_i^{\varepsilon} f_{k*}^{\varepsilon} \left(v_* - v + |v - v_*|\sigma\right) \mathrm{d}\sigma \, \mathrm{d}v_* \, \mathrm{d}v$$

Symmetry and parity arguments: cancellation of the term in blue

In terms of macroscopic quantities

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k \neq i} \int v \, Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon})(v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \sum_{k \neq i} \underbrace{\frac{2\pi m_i m_k \|b_{ik}\|_{L^1}}{(m_i + m_k)k_B T}}_{D_{ik}^{-1}} \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \left(n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\varepsilon^2 \frac{m_i}{k_B T} \Big(\partial_t (n_i^{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla_x \cdot (n_i^{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon} \otimes u_i^{\varepsilon}) \Big) + \nabla_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon}}{D_{ik}}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \left| -\nabla_{\times} n_i = \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{n_k J_i - n_i J_k}{D_{ik}} \right|$$

Asymptotic-Preserving numerical scheme

Capture the behavior of the solutions to both

- the Boltzmann equations in a rarefied regime
- the Maxwell-Stefan equations in the fluid regime

with fixed discretization parameters (independent of ε): AP behavior

[Filbet, Jin, '10], [Jin, '12], [Jin, Shi, '10], [Jin, Li, '13]

Difficulties

► The collision (and the transport) term in the Boltzmann equation are stiff when $\varepsilon \to 0$ $\boxed{\varepsilon \partial_t f_i^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f_i^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_k Q_{ij}(f_i^\varepsilon, f_j^\varepsilon)}$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i^{\varepsilon} + \nabla_x \cdot J_i^{\varepsilon} = 0\\ \varepsilon^2 m_i \Big(\partial_t (n_i^{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla_x \cdot (n_i^{\varepsilon} u_i^{\varepsilon} \otimes u_i^{\varepsilon}) \Big) + k_B T \nabla_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} (n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon}) \end{cases}$$

Towards an Asymptotic-Preserving (AP) scheme?

Ideas

Following [JIN, LI, '13], penalize the Boltzmann operator with a linear BGK operator (IMEX scheme)

$$\frac{f_i^{\varepsilon,n+1}-f_i^{\varepsilon,n}}{\Delta t}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f_i^{\varepsilon,n}=\frac{Q_i^{\varepsilon,n}-P_i^{\varepsilon,n}}{\varepsilon^2}+\frac{P_i^{\varepsilon,n+1}}{\varepsilon^2},$$

BGK operator: $P_i^{\varepsilon} = \beta_i (M_i - f_i^{\varepsilon})$, where M_i is the global Maxwellian Issue: discretization of the transport term \Rightarrow restrictive CFL condition

Omment method, in order to mimic the proof of the formal convergence

Same ansatz:

$$f_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) = n_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-m_i \frac{|v - \varepsilon u_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x)|^2}{2k_B T}\right\}$$

Computation of the moments

$$\partial_t n_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x J_i^{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon^2 m_i \Big(\partial_t J_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x \Big(\frac{(J_i^{\varepsilon})^2}{n_i^{\varepsilon}} \Big) \Big) + k_B T \partial_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} \big(n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon} \big)$$

• Choice:
$$n_i^{\varepsilon}(u_i^{\varepsilon})^2 = (J_i^{\varepsilon})^2/n_i^{\varepsilon}$$
 for $n_i^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$

Implicit treatment of the linear and the Maxwell-Stefan terms (in red)

•
$$\Delta t, \Delta x > 0$$
: time and space steps, $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$

$$\blacktriangleright n_{i,j}^n \approx n_i^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta t, j\Delta x), \ J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^n \approx J_i^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta t, (j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x)$$

Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fluxes

▶ taken into account via ghost cells: $J_{i,-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = J_{i,N-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = 0$

$$\partial_t n_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x J_i^{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon^2 m_i \Big(\partial_t J_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x \Big(\frac{(J_i^{\varepsilon})^2}{n_i^{\varepsilon}} \Big) \Big) + k_B T \partial_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} \Big(n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon} \Big)$$

• Choice:
$$n_i^{\varepsilon}(u_i^{\varepsilon})^2 = (J_i^{\varepsilon})^2/n_i^{\varepsilon}$$
 for $n_i^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$

Implicit treatment of the linear and the Maxwell-Stefan terms (in red)

•
$$\Delta t, \Delta x > 0$$
: time and space steps, $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$

Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fluxes

▶ taken into account via ghost cells: $J_{i,-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = J_{i,N-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = 0$

$$\partial_t n_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x J_i^{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon^2 m_i \Big(\partial_t J_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x \Big(\frac{(J_i^{\varepsilon})^2}{n_i^{\varepsilon}} \Big) \Big) + k_B T \partial_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} \Big(n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon} \Big)$$

• Choice: $n_i^{\varepsilon}(u_i^{\varepsilon})^2 = (J_i^{\varepsilon})^2/n_i^{\varepsilon}$ for $n_i^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$

Implicit treatment of the linear and the Maxwell-Stefan terms (in red)

•
$$\Delta t, \Delta x > 0$$
: time and space steps, $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$

$$\blacktriangleright n_{i,j}^n \approx n_i^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta t, j\Delta x), \ J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^n \approx J_i^{\varepsilon}(n\Delta t, (j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x)$$

Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fluxes

▶ taken into account via ghost cells:
$$J_{i,-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = J_{i,N-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = 0$$

$$\partial_t n_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x J_i^{\varepsilon} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon^2 m_i \Big(\partial_t J_i^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x \Big(\frac{(J_i^{\varepsilon})^2}{n_i^{\varepsilon}} \Big) \Big) + k_B T \partial_x n_i^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} \Big(n_i^{\varepsilon} J_k^{\varepsilon} - n_k^{\varepsilon} J_i^{\varepsilon} \Big)$$

• Choice: $n_i^{\varepsilon}(u_i^{\varepsilon})^2 = (J_i^{\varepsilon})^2/n_i^{\varepsilon}$ for $n_i^{\varepsilon} \neq 0$

Implicit treatment of the linear and the Maxwell-Stefan terms (in red)

• $\Delta t, \Delta x > 0$: time and space steps, $\lambda = \Delta t / \Delta x$

$$\blacktriangleright n_{i,j}^n \approx n_i^{\varepsilon} (n\Delta t, j\Delta x), \ J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^n \approx J_i^{\varepsilon} (n\Delta t, (j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x)$$

- Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fluxes
 - ► taken into account via ghost cells: $J_{i,-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = J_{i,N-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = 0$

Discretization of the equations

$$n_{i,j}^{n+1} + \lambda (J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - J_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}) = n_{i,j}^{n}$$

$$\left(-\Delta t \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} n_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - \varepsilon^{2} m_{i} \right) J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} + \Delta t n_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} J_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}$$

$$= k_{B} T \lambda (n_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - n_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \varepsilon^{2} m_{i} (\lambda R_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} - J_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n})$$

• Choice of n_i at the center of the cells: $n_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} := \min \{n_{i,j}^{n+1}, n_{i,j+1}^{n+1}\}$ [Anaya, Bendahmane, Sepúlveda, '15]

Matrix form of the scheme

Vector of unknowns
$$\mathcal{Y}^n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}^n \\ \mathcal{J}^n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p(2N+1)}$$
, where

$$\mathcal{N}^{n} = \left(n_{1,0}^{n}, \cdots, n_{1,N}^{n}, \cdots, n_{\rho,0}^{n}, \cdots, n_{\rho,N}^{n}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad \mathcal{J}^{n} = \left(J_{1,\frac{1}{2}}^{n}, \cdots, J_{\rho,N-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

The system becomes

$$\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1})\mathcal{Y}^{n+1} = \mathsf{b}^n$$

Existence of a solution

$$\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \, \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} = \mathsf{b}^{n}, \text{ where } \mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & \mathbb{S}_{12} \\ \mathbb{S}_{21} & \mathbb{S}_{22}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix form of the system is solved numerically by a Newton method.

Fixed-point argument: existence of a solution \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} to the system

- Auxiliary system: replace the number densities \mathcal{N}^{n+1} by their positive parts $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}$
- $\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$ is invertible
- Write $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1} = f(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$, with f continuous and compact
- ▶ Bound on any ξf , for $\xi \in [0, 1]$, by using a L^1 -estimate: $\|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^n\|_{L^1}$
- Schaefer's fixed-point theorem: existence of *N*ⁿ⁺¹, and thus of *J*ⁿ⁺¹ = g(*N*ⁿ⁺¹)

By nonnegativity, a solution to the auxiliary system is also solution of the initial system.

Existence of a solution

$$\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \, \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} = \mathsf{b}^{n}, \text{ where } \mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & \mathbb{S}_{12} \\ \mathbb{S}_{21} & \mathbb{S}_{22}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix form of the system is solved numerically by a Newton method.

Fixed-point argument: existence of a solution \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} to the system

- Auxiliary system: replace the number densities \mathcal{N}^{n+1} by their positive parts $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}$
- $\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$ is invertible
- Write $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1} = f(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$, with f continuous and compact
- ▶ Bound on any ξf , for $\xi \in [0, 1]$, by using a L^1 -estimate: $\|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^n\|_{L^1}$
- ▶ Schaefer's fixed-point theorem: existence of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}$, and thus of $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} = g(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$

By nonnegativity, a solution to the auxiliary system is also solution of the initial system.

Existence of a solution

$$\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \, \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} = \mathsf{b}^{n}, \text{ where } \mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I} & \mathbb{S}_{12} \\ \mathbb{S}_{21} & \mathbb{S}_{22}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{N}^{n+1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix form of the system is solved numerically by a Newton method.

Fixed-point argument: existence of a solution \mathcal{Y}^{n+1} to the system

- Auxiliary system: replace the number densities \mathcal{N}^{n+1} by their positive parts $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}$
- $\mathbb{S}^{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$ is invertible
- Write $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1} = f(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$, with f continuous and compact
- ▶ Bound on any ξf , for $\xi \in [0, 1]$, by using a L^1 -estimate: $\|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}\|_{L^1} \leq \|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^n\|_{L^1}$
- ▶ Schaefer's fixed-point theorem: existence of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1}$, and thus of $\mathcal{J}^{n+1} = g(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}^{n+1})$

By nonnegativity, a solution to the auxiliary system is also solution of the initial system.

Parameters of the scheme and diffusion of two species

- ▶ 3 species: H_2 , N_2 and CO_2 , molar masses $M_1 = 2$, $M_2 = 28$ and $M_3 = 44 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$
- ► B_{ij} computed from the binary diffusive coefficients: $B_{ij} = \frac{(m_i+m_j)k_BT}{4\pi m_i m_j D_{ij}}$, rescaled by a factor 10⁵
- $\Omega = [-1, 1], \ \Delta t = \Delta x^2 = 10^{-4}$
- Diffusion of two species
 - Diffusion of H_2 and CO_2 for $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$
 - ▶ Plots of the concentrations for $t = 0, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10$



Cross-diffusion for mixtures

▶ 3 species test case, classical diffusion H_2 and CO_2

N₂, although being at equilibrium, moves (uphill diffusion)
 Diffusion barrier: classical diffusion takes over



Cross-diffusion for mixtures

- ▶ 3 species test case, classical diffusion H_2 and CO_2
- ► N₂, although being at equilibrium, moves (uphill diffusion)
- Diffusion barrier: classical diffusion takes over



AP behavior

- \blacktriangleright Fixed discretization parameters for arbitrary small values of ε
- Convergence of the number densities to the solutions of Maxwell-Stefan



• Influence of the value of ε on the diffusion process (plot at $t = 10^{-2}$)



Outline of the talk

Introduction

2 Moment method

- Moment method
- Asymptotic-Preserving numerical scheme
- Numerical results

③ Perturbative method

- 4 Stiff dissipative hyperbolic formalism
- 5 Conclusion and prospects

Perturbative method

$$\varepsilon \partial_t f_i^{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_i^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_k Q_{ik}(f_i^{\varepsilon}, f_k^{\varepsilon})$$

Expansion around the global Maxwellian with zero bulk velocity (equilibrium) with number density n_i

$$f_i^{\varepsilon} = n_i \mu_i + \varepsilon g_i^{\varepsilon} \qquad \qquad \mu_i = (m_i / 2\pi k_B T)^{d/2} e^{-m_i |\mathbf{v}|^2 / 2k_B T}$$

Moments

$$J_i(t,x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int v f_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v = \int v g_i^{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v$$

• Mass conservation, order ε : $\partial_t n_i + \nabla_x \cdot J_i = 0$

▶ Inject expansion in the Boltzmann equation, order ε^0

$$\mu_i \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{n}_i = \sum_k Q_{ik}(\mathbf{n}_i \mu_i, \mathbf{g}_k^{\varepsilon}) + Q_{ki}(\mathbf{g}_i^{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{n}_k \mu_k) =: \mathcal{L}_i(\mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon}),$$

where $\mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon} = (g^{\varepsilon}_i)_i \rightsquigarrow$ defines the linearized Boltzmann operator $\mathbf{L} = (\mathcal{L}_i)_i$

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{g}^{arepsilon}) \qquad \stackrel{\leadsto}{\underset{(\star)}{\longrightarrow}} \qquad \mathsf{g}^{arepsilon} = \mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}$$

lnject this expression for g_i^{ε} in the definition of J_i

$$J_i = \int v[\mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}]_i \mathrm{d}v = \int n_i \mu_i v[\mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}]_i (n_i \mu_i)^{-1} \mathrm{d}v$$

• With
$$\mathbf{C}_i = (\mu_k v \delta_{ik})_k$$
, we get
$$J_i = n_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \rangle_{L^2((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$$

► L⁻¹ is self-adjoint on $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be the projection of \mathbf{C} on $\text{Ker } \mathbf{L}$. Thus $J_{i} = n_{i} \sum_{k} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, W_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$ ► Since $W_{j} = \mu_{j} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j} = \text{``C}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j}$ '' $J_{i} = \sum_{k} \underbrace{n_{i} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, \mathbf{C}_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}}_{b_{ik}(n_{i})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{k}$

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{g}^{arepsilon}) \qquad \stackrel{\leadsto}{\underset{(\star)}{\longrightarrow}} \qquad \mathsf{g}^{arepsilon} = \mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}$$

► Inject this expression for g^ε_i in the definition of J_i

$$J_i = \int v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i \mathrm{d}v = \int n_i \mu_i v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i (n_i \mu_i)^{-1} \mathrm{d}v$$

• With
$$\mathbf{C}_i = (\mu_k v \delta_{ik})_k$$
, we get
$$J_i = n_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \rangle_{L^2((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$$

► L⁻¹ is self-adjoint on $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be the projection of \mathbf{C} on $\text{Ker } \mathbf{L}$. Thus $J_{i} = n_{i} \sum_{k} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, W_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$ ► Since $W_{j} = \mu_{j} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j} = \text{``C}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j}$ '' $J_{i} = \sum_{k} \underbrace{n_{i} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, \mathbf{C}_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}}_{b_{jk}(n_{i})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{k}$

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{g}^{arepsilon}) \qquad \stackrel{\leadsto}{\underset{(\star)}{\longrightarrow}} \qquad \mathsf{g}^{arepsilon} = \mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}$$

► Inject this expression for g^ε_i in the definition of J_i

$$J_i = \int v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i \mathrm{d}v = \int \underline{n_i \mu_i} v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i (\underline{n_i \mu_i})^{-1} \mathrm{d}v$$

► With
$$\mathbf{C}_i = (\mu_k v \delta_{ik})_k$$
, we get
$$J_i = n_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \rangle_{L^2((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$$

► L⁻¹ is self-adjoint on $(\text{Ker } \mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be the projection of \mathbf{C} on $\text{Ker } \mathbf{L}$. Thus $J_{i} = n_{i} \sum_{k} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, W_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$ ► Since $W_{j} = \mu_{j} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j} = \text{``C}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j}$ '' $J_{i} = \sum_{k} \underbrace{n_{i} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, \mathbf{C}_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}}_{b_{ik}(n_{i})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{k}$

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{g}^{arepsilon}) \qquad \stackrel{\leadsto}{\underset{(\star)}{\longrightarrow}} \qquad \mathsf{g}^{arepsilon} = \mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}$$

► Inject this expression for g^ε_i in the definition of J_i

$$J_i = \int v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i \mathrm{d}v = \int \underline{n_i \mu_i} v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i (\underline{n_i \mu_i})^{-1} \mathrm{d}v$$

► With
$$\mathbf{C}_i = (\mu_k v \delta_{ik})_k$$
, we get
$$J_i = n_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \rangle_{L^2((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$$

► \mathbf{L}^{-1} is self-adjoint on $(\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be the projection of \mathbf{C} on $\operatorname{Ker} \mathbf{L}$. Thus $J_{i} = n_{i} \sum_{k} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, W_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$ ► Since $W_{j} = \mu_{j} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j} = \text{``C}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j}$ '' $J_{i} = \sum_{k} \underbrace{n_{i} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, \mathbf{C}_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}}_{b_{ik}(n_{i})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{k}$

$$\mathsf{W} = \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{g}^{arepsilon}) \qquad \stackrel{\leadsto}{\underset{(\star)}{\longrightarrow}} \qquad \mathsf{g}^{arepsilon} = \mathsf{L}^{-1}\mathsf{W}$$

► Inject this expression for g^ε_i in the definition of J_i

$$J_i = \int v[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i \mathrm{d}v = \int \underline{n_i \mu_i v}[\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{W}]_i (\underline{n_i \mu_i})^{-1} \mathrm{d}v$$

► With
$$\mathbf{C}_i = (\mu_k v \delta_{ik})_k$$
, we get
$$J_i = n_i \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \rangle_{L^2((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$$

► \mathbf{L}^{-1} is self-adjoint on $(\text{Ker }\mathbf{L})^{\perp}$. Let $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ be the projection of \mathbf{C} on $\text{Ker }\mathbf{L}$. Thus $J_{i} = n_{i} \sum_{k} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, W_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}$ ► Since $W_{j} = \mu_{j} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j} = \text{``C}_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{j}$ '' $J_{i} = \sum_{k} \underbrace{n_{i} \langle [\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Gamma})]_{k}, \mathbf{C}_{k} \rangle_{L^{2}((n\mu)^{-1/2})}}_{b_{ik}(n_{i})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_{k}$

Outline of the talk

Introduction

2 Moment method

- Moment method
- Asymptotic-Preserving numerical scheme
- Numerical results

B) Perturbative method

4 Stiff dissipative hyperbolic formalism

5 Conclusion and prospects

Stiff dissipative model for mixtures

For any species *i* with density n_i and velocity u_i , we write mass and momentum conservation

$$(*) \begin{cases} \partial_t n_i + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (n_i \mathbf{u}_i) = 0, \\ \partial_t (n_i \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (n_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{u}_i) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_i + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Ideal gas law for the partial pressure $P_i(n_i) \propto n_i$
- Relaxation term of Maxwell-Stefan's type: friction force exerted by the mixture on species i

$$R_i = \sum_{k \neq i} a_{ik} n_i n_k (\boldsymbol{u}_k - \boldsymbol{u}_i)$$

Using the formalism of Chen, Levermore, Liu, CPAM, '94

Obtain a reduced system when ε remains small

► Derive an approximation of the local equilibrium and its first-order correction

- Build a relevant entropy which ensures...
- ... the hyperbolicity of the local equilibrium approximation...
- ... and the dissipativity of its first-order correction

Maxwell-Stefan vs. Fick

Reduced system involving the bulk velocity \pmb{u} for small ε

Let $n = \sum_{i} n_{i}$, and **u** the mass-weighted averaged (aligned) velocity. System (*) formally reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(n_i \mathbf{u} - \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^p \beta_{ik} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} n_k}{n_k} \right) = 0, \\ \partial_t (n\mathbf{u}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (n\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} P = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

where $P = \sum_{i} P_i(n_i)$ is the total pressure, and (β_{ik}) are positive constants.

- Diffusion correction term of Fick's type (on the mass equation)
 - Fick equations model mass diffusion in a continuous regime
- ▶ No viscosity term on the momentum equation (convective ≫ diffusive fluxes)
- Maxwell-Stefan equations needed in a moderately rarefied regime

Conclusion and prospects

Conclusions

- Formal derivation of Maxwell-Stefan and Fick equations from the Boltzmann equation for mixtures in the diffusive regime
- Numerical scheme able to capture the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion asymptotic of Boltzmann equation for mixtures, via the moment method

Prospects

- AP property, higher space and velocity dimensions
- AP scheme for the full distribution function (without the ansatz)
- AP scheme for the Fick equations
- Numerical simulations for the stiff dissipative model
- Non isothermal setting

Thank you for your attention!



HI

Cross-diffusion models from the kinetic modelling

$$c_{i,j}^{n+1} + \lambda (F_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - F_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}) = c_{i,j}^{n}$$

$$\left(-\Delta t \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} B_{ik} c_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - \varepsilon^{2} m_{i} \right) F_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} + \Delta t c_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} \sum_{k \neq i} \mu_{ik} B_{ik} F_{k,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1}$$

$$= k_{B} T \lambda (c_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - c_{i,j}^{n+1}) + \varepsilon^{2} m_{i} (\lambda R_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} - F_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n})$$

Vectorial form of the equations, with ${\mathcal S}$ the source term

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \mathcal{F}$$
$$\mathcal{AF} = \partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \mathcal{F}$$
$$\mathcal{AF} = \partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}$$

• Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ (invertible)

- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$$

\blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative

- We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$
- \blacktriangleright and for arepsilon small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: C is nonnegative.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \mathcal{F}$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} = \partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}$$

• Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ (invertible)

- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{i+1/2}$:

 $\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$

▶ Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative

- We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$
- and for ε small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: C is nonnegative.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \mathcal{F}$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} = \partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [Anaya, Bendahmane, Sepúlveda, '15
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{i+1/2}$:

 $\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+, \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^- - \mathcal{C}_j^-) = 0.$

- ▶ Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$
 - \blacktriangleright and for arepsilon small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} (\partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}) \Big)$$

• Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)

- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15

▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{i+1/2}$:

 $\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+, \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^- - \mathcal{C}_j^-) = 0.$

 \blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative

• We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$,

- and for ε small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- ▶ Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C} = \partial_x \Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} (\partial_x \mathcal{C} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{S}) \Big)$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{i+1/2}$:

 $\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+, \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^- - \mathcal{C}_j^-) = 0.$

- Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$,
 - \blacktriangleright and for ε small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- ▶ Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{i+1/2}$:

 $\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+, \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^- - \mathcal{C}_j^-) = 0.$

- \blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$,
 - \blacktriangleright and for ε small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- ▶ Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [Anaya, Bendahmane, Sepúlveda, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$$

 \blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative

- We have $< \partial_x C, \partial_x C^- > \leq 0$,
- \blacktriangleright and for arepsilon small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- ▶ Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$$

- \blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x \mathcal{C}, \partial_x \mathcal{C}^- > \leq 0$,
 - **•** and for ε small enough, the *S*-term is controlled by the previous one.
- Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: C is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$$

- \blacktriangleright Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x \mathcal{C}, \partial_x \mathcal{C}^- > \leq 0$,

• and for ε small enough, the *S*-term is controlled by the previous one.

• Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: C is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+, \mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^- - \mathcal{C}_j^-) = 0.$$

- Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x \mathcal{C}, \partial_x \mathcal{C}^- > \leq 0$,
 - ▶ and for ε small enough, the *S*-term is controlled by the previous one.

• Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: C is nonnegative.

$$<\partial_t \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^->=<\left(ilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\partial_x \mathcal{C}+arepsilon^2\mathcal{S})
ight), \partial_x \mathcal{C}^->$$

- Auxiliary equations: replace C by C^+ in $A \rightsquigarrow \tilde{A}$ (invertible)
- Use the momentum equation in the mass equation
- Multiply by C⁻, integration by parts [ANAYA, BENDAHMANE, SEPÚLVEDA, '15]
- ▶ Nondiagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ contain $\mathcal{C}^+_{j+1/2}$:

$$\min(\mathcal{C}_j^+,\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^+)(\mathcal{C}_{j+1}^--\mathcal{C}_j^-)=0.$$

- Diagonal terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ are nonnegative
 - We have $< \partial_x \mathcal{C}, \partial_x \mathcal{C}^- > \leq 0$,
 - and for ε small enough, the S-term is controlled by the previous one.
- Thus $\langle \partial_t C, C^- \rangle \leq 0$: *C* is nonnegative.

A posteriori validation of the assumptions

Smallness of the source terms $\varepsilon^2 S$

Numerically, uniform boundedness w. r. t. ε



Closure relation for Maxwell-Stefan

• Numerically, $\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_i = 1 + O(\varepsilon^2)$



Closure relation (1)

Maxwell-Stefan equation $-\nabla \mathbf{n} = A(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{J}$

- ▶ Summing over *i* the equations (*A* has rank p 1) implies that $\nabla_x \sum_i n_i = 0$
- Ansatz (local Maxwellian) implies

$$\int m_i |v|^2 f_i^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v = 3k_B T n_i^{\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon), \qquad \int m_i |v|^2 v f_i^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v = 5\varepsilon k_B T J_i^{\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon).$$

• Moment of order 2 (order ε^1), summing over *i*, and taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$3\partial_t \sum_i n_i + 5\nabla_x \cdot \sum_i J_i = 0,$$

where the collision operator disappears by symmetry when summing over *i*.

Combining with mass conservation implies

$$\partial_t \sum_i n_i = \nabla_x \cdot \sum_i J_i = 0$$

▶ Constant total number of molecules ∑_i n_i
 ▶ Compatible with equimolar diffusion ∑_i J_i(t, x) = 0

Closure relation (2)

Fick equation $\mathbf{J} = -B(\mathbf{n})\nabla\mathbf{n}$

- Summing over *i* the equations (*B* has rank p-1) implies that $\sum_{i} m_i J_i = 0$
- Inversion giving the perturbation g^ε (relation (⋆)) only valid if the RHS W_i = μ_iν · ∇_×n_i ∈ (Ker L)[⊥].
- Ker L spanned by $(\sqrt{n_i}\mu_i\mathbf{e}_i)_i$, $m_in_i\mu_iv$, $m_in_i\mu_i|v|^2$
- Orthogonality

$$0 = \sum_{i} \int \mu_{i} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{n}_{i} \mathbf{m}_{i} \mathbf{v} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{i} \mathbf{m}_{i} \mathbf{n}_{i}$$

• Mass conservation for each species implies (when summing with weights m_i)

$$\mathbf{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \int \sum_{i} m_{i} n_{i} \mathrm{d}x$$

• Constant mass $\sum_i m_i n_i$

Steps of the computations

- Internal energy $E_i''(\rho_i) = P_i'(\rho_i)/\rho_i$
- (Strictly convex) entropy $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2} \rho_j u_j^2 + E_j(\rho_j)$
- (p+d) independent conserved quantities : $\left[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_p, \sum_{j=1}^p \rho_j \boldsymbol{u}_j\right]$
- Equilibrium: $[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_p, \rho_1 \boldsymbol{u}, \cdots, \rho_p \boldsymbol{u}]$ for some \boldsymbol{u}

Formal expansion around the equilibrium & linearization

 \rightsquigarrow expression of the correction provided (pseudo-)inversion of "the gradient of the relaxation term", involving the "flux terms"

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{ij} \frac{X_j}{\rho_j} = \nabla_x P_i(\rho_i) - \frac{\rho_i}{\rho} \nabla_x P_j(\rho_i) - \frac{\rho_i}{\rho} \nabla_x P_j(\rho_i) - \frac{\rho_i}{\rho} \nabla_y P_j(\rho_i$$

with $\rho = \sum_{i} \rho_{i}$, $P = \sum_{i} P_{i}$

 \rightsquigarrow equation on the conserved quantities with the correction term

Steps of the computations

- Internal energy $E_i''(\rho_i) = P_i'(\rho_i)/\rho_i$
- (Strictly convex) entropy $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{2} \rho_j u_j^2 + E_j(\rho_j)$
- (p+d) independent conserved quantities : $\left[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_p, \sum_{j=1}^p \rho_j \boldsymbol{u}_j\right]$
- Equilibrium: $[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_p, \rho_1 \boldsymbol{u}, \cdots, \rho_p \boldsymbol{u}]$ for some \boldsymbol{u}

Formal expansion around the equilibrium & linearization

 \rightsquigarrow expression of the correction provided (pseudo-)inversion of "the gradient of the relaxation term", involving the "flux terms"

$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\beta_{ij}}{\rho_{j}} \left(\nabla_{x} P_{j}(\rho_{j}) - \frac{\rho_{j}}{\rho} \nabla_{x} P \right)$$

with $\rho = \sum_{i} \rho_{i}$, $P = \sum_{i} P_{i}$

 \rightsquigarrow equation on the conserved quantities with the correction term

Justification of the Ansatz for the Maxwell-Stefan equations

In a moderately rarefied regime (not so dominant collision process)

- ▶ Significant deviation from local equilibrium described by the moment method
- Moment method: approach to compute Galerkin solutions to the Boltzmann equation

[Levermore, JSP '96]

• First finite dimensional subspace $\mathbb{M}_0 = \operatorname{Ker} Q$ spanned by e_1, \dots, e_p , $[m_1v, \dots, m_pv]$ and $[m_1v^2, \dots, m_pv^2]$

 \rightsquigarrow equilibrium with one bulk velocity

Second finite dimensional subspace $M_1 ⊃ M_0$ spanned by e_1, \cdots, e_p , m_1ve_1, \cdots, m_pve_p and $[m_1v^2, \cdots, m_pv^2]$

 \rightsquigarrow local Maxwellian with different macroscopic velocities