## Successful Data Mining in Practice: Where do we Start? Richard D. De Veaux

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Williams College Williamstown MA, 01267 deveaux@williams.edu


http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/rdeveaux

## 8) Outline

## -What is it?

-Why is it different?
-Types of models
-How to start

-Where do we go next?
-Challenges

## (2) Reason for Data Mining
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## Data Mining Is...

"the nontrivial process of identifying valid, novel, potentially useful, and ultimately understandable patterns in data." --- Fayyad
"finding interesting structure (patterns, statistical models, relationships) in data bases".--- Fayyad, Chaduri and Bradley
"a knowledge discovery process of extracting previously unknown, actionable information from very large data bases"--- Zornes
" a process that uses a variety of data analysis tools to discover patterns and relationships in data that may be used to make valid predictions." ---Edelstein

## What is Data Mining?

DILBERT ${ }_{B r}$ Scoti Adams


## Paralyzed Veterans of America

- KDD 1998 cup
- Mailing list of 3.5 million potential donors

- Lapsed donors
> Made their last donation to PVA 13 to 24 months prior to June 1997
$>200,000$ (training and test sets)
- Who should get the current mailing?
- Cost effective strategy?


## 2) Results for PVA Data Set

- If entire list (100,000 donors) are mailed, net donation is \$10,500
- Using data mining techniques, this was increased 41.37\%


## KDD CUP 98 Results

## KDD-CUP-98 Results (1 of 2)

| Participants | Sum of Actual Profits |  | Number Mailed | Average Profits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gain Smarts | \$ | 14,712.24 | 56,330 | 0.26 |  |
| SAS/Enterprise Miner | \$ | 14,662.43 | 55,838 | 0.26 |  |
| Quadstone/Decisionhouse | \$ | 13,954.47 | 57,836 | 0.24 |  |
| \# 4 | \$ | 13,824.77 | 55,650 | 0.25 |  |
| \# 5 | \$ | 13,794.24 | 51,906 | 0.27 |  |
| \# 6 | \$ | 13,598.05 | 55,830 | 0.24 |  |
| \# 7 | \$ | 13,040.46 | 60,901 | 0.21 |  |
| \# 8 | \$ | 12,298.23 | 48,304 | 0.25 |  |
| \# 9 | \$ | 11,422.75 | 56,144 | 0.20 |  |
| \# 10 | \$ | 11,276.46 | 90,976 | 0.12 |  |
| \# 11 | \$ | 10,719.88 | 62,432 | 0.17 |  |
| \#12 | \$ | 10,706.34 | 65,286 | 0.16 |  |
| \#13 | \$ | 10,112.08 | 64,044 | 0.16 |  |
| \#14 | \$ | 10,048.72 | 76,994 | 0.13 |  |
| \#15 | \$ | 9,740.72 | 54,195 | 0.18 |  |
| \#16 | \$ | 9,463.77 | 79,294 | 0.12 |  |
| \#17 | \$ | 5,682.91 | 51,477 | 0.11 |  |
| \#18 | \$ | $5,483.67$ | 30,539 | 0.18 |  |
| \#19 | \$ | 1,924.69 | 50,475 | 0.04 |  |
| \# 20 | \$ | 1,706.17 | 42,270 | 0.04 |  |
| \# 21 | \$ | (53.68) | 1.551 | -0.03 |  |
| Immail Parsa |  | D-CUP-98 |  | 89 | ension |

## KDD CUP 98 Results 2

## KDD-CUP-98 Results (2 of 2)




## Why Is This Hard?

- Size of Data Set
- Signal/Noise ratio
- Example \#1 - PVA on


## Why Is It Taking Off Now?

- Because we can
$>$ Computer power
$>$ The price of digital storage is near zero
- Data warehouses already built

> Companies want return on data investment


## (2) What's Different?

- Users
> Domain experts, not statisticians
$>$ Have too much data
> Want automatic methods
$>$ Want useful information
- Problem size
$>$ Number of rows
$>$ Number of variables



## Data Mining Data Sets

- Massive amounts of data
- UPS
$>16$ TB -- library of congress
$>$ Mostly tracking
- Low signal to noise
$>$ Many irrelevant variables
$>$ Subtle relationships
$\rightarrow$ Variation


## (2) Financial Applications

- Credit assessment
$>$ Is this loan application a good credit risk?
$>$ Who is likely to declare bankruptcy?
- Financial performance
$>$ What should be a portfolio product mix



## (3) Manufacturing Applications

- Product reliability and quality control
- Process control
> What can I do to improve batch yields?
- Warranty analysis
> Product problems

$\rightarrow$ Fraud
> Service assessment


## (3) Medical Applications

- Medical procedure effectiveness
$>$ Who are good candidates for surgery?
- Physician effectiveness
$>$ Which tests are ineffective?
$>$ Which physicians are likely to overprescribe treatments?

$>$ What combinations of tests are most effective?


## E-commerce

- Automatic web page design
- Recommendations for new purchases
- Cross selling


## (3) Pharmaceutical Applications

- Clinical trial databases
- Combine clinical trial results with extensive medical/demographic data base to explore:
> Prediction of adverse experiences
$>$ Who is likely to be non-compliant or drop out?
$>$ What are alternative (I.E., Non-
 approved) uses supported by the data?


## Example: Screening Plates

- Biological assay
$>$ Samples are tested for potency
$>8 \times 12$ arrays of samples
$>$ Reference compounds included
- Questions:
$>$ Correct for drift
$>$ Recognize clogged dispensing tips


## Pharmaceutical Applications

- High throughput screening
$>$ Predict actions in assays
$>$ Predict results in animals or humans
- Rational drug design
$>$ Relating chemical structure with chemical properties
$>$ Inverse regression to predict chemical properties from desired structure
- DNA snips


## (3) Pharmaceutical Applications

- Genomics
$>$ Associate genes with diseases
> Find relationships between genotype and drug response (e.g., dosage requirements, adverse effects)
$>$ Find individuals most susceptible to placebo effect


## (3) <br> Fraud Detection

- Identify false:
> Medical insurance claims
$>$ Accident insurance claims
- Which stock trades are based on insider information?
- Whose cell phone number has been stolen?
- Which credit card transactions are from stolen cards?


## Case Study I

- Ingot cracking
> 953 30,000 lb. Ingots
> 20\% cracking rate
> \$30,000 per recast

> 90 potential explanatory variables
$\checkmark$ Water composition (reduced)
$\checkmark$ Metal composition
$\checkmark$ Process variables
$\checkmark$ Other environmental variables


# - <br> Case Study II - Car Insurance 

- 42800 mature policies
- 65 potential predictors
$>$ Tree model found industry, vehicle age, numbers of vehicles, usage and location



## Data Mining and OLAP

- On-line analytical processing (OLAP): users deductively analyze data to verify hypothesis
> Descriptive, not predictive
- Data mining: software uses data to inductively find patterns
> Predictive or descriptive
- Synergy
> OLAP helps users understand data before mining
$>$ OLAP helps users evaluate significance and value of patterns


## Data Mining vs. Statistics

Large amount of data:
$1,000,000$ rows, 3000 columns 1,000 rows, 30 columns

## Data Collection

Happenstance Data
Designed Surveys, Experiments Sample?
Why bother? We have big, parallel computers

You bet! We even get error estimates.

## Reasonable Price for Sofware

$\$ 1,000,000$ a year $\$ 599$ with coupon from Amstat News
Presentation Medium
PowerPoint, what else?
Overhead foils, of course!

## Nice Place for a Meeting

Aspen in January, Maui in February,...
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Indianapolis in August, Dallas in August, Baltimore in August, Atlanta in August,...

## Data Mining Vs. Statistics

- Flexible models
- Prediction often most important
- Computation matters
- Variable selection and overfitting are problems
- Particular model and error structure
- Understanding, confidence intervals
- Computation not critical
- Variable selection and model selection are still problems


## What's the Same?

- George Box
$>$ All models are wrong, but some are useful
$>$ Statisticians, like artists, have the bad habit of falling in love with their models
- The model is no better than the data
- Twyman's law
$>$ If it looks interesting, it's probably wrong
- De Veaux's corollary
$>$ If it's not wrong, it's probably obvious


## (3) Knowledge Discovery Process



Define business problem
Build data mining database
Explore data
Prepare data for modeling
Build model
Evaluate model
Deploy model and results

Note: This process model borrows from CRISP-DM: CRoss Industry Standard Process for Data Mining

## Data Mining Myths



- Find answers to unasked questions
- Continuously monitor your data base for interesting patterns
- Eliminate the need to understand your business
- Eliminate the need to collect good data
- Eliminate the need to have good data analysis skills


## © Beer and Diapers

- Made up story?
- Unrepeatable -Happened once.
- Lessons learned?

- Imagine being able to see nobody coming down the road, and at such a distance
- De Veaux's theory of evolution


## Successful Data Mining

- The keys to success:
$>$ Formulating the problem
> Using the right data
$>$ Flexibility in modeling
$>$ Acting on results
- Success depends more on the way you mine the data rather than the specific tool


## (3) Types of Models

- Descriptions
- Classification (categorical or discrete values)
- Regression (continuous values)
> Time series (continuous values)
- Clustering
- Association


## (3) Data Preparation

- Build data mining database
- Explore data
- Prepare data for modeling


## $60 \%$ to $95 \%$ of the time is spent preparing the data

## © Data Challenges

- Data definitions
> Types of variables
- Data consolidation
$>$ Combine data from different sources
$>$ NASA mars lander

- Data heterogeneity
> Homonyms
> Synonyms
- Data quality



## Data Quality



SEE, THEY ASKED HOW MUCH MONEY I SPEND ON GUM EACH WEEK, SO I WRDTE, "\$500." FOR MY AGE, I PUT 433: AND WHEN THEY ASKED WHAT MY FAVORITE FLAVOR IS. I WROTE
"GARLKC/ CURRY:


## (2) Missing Values

- Random missing values
> Delete row?
$\checkmark$ Paralyzed Veterans

>Substitute value
$\checkmark$ Imputation
$\checkmark$ Multiple Imputation
- Systematic missing data
> Now what?


## Missing Values -- Systematic

- Ann Landers: 90\% of parents said they wouldn't do it again!!
- Wharton Ph.D. Student questionnaire on survey attitudes
- Bowdoin college applicants have mean SAT verbal score above 750


## The Depression Study

- Designed to study antidepressant efficacy $>$ Measured via Hamilton Rating Scale
- Side effects
$>$ Sexual dysfunction
$>$ Misc safety and tolerability issues
- Late '97 and early '98.
- 692 patients
- Two antidepressants + placebo


## The Data

- Background info
> Age
$>$ Sex
- Each received either
> Placebo
$>$ Anti depressant 1
> Anti depressant 2
- Dosages
- At time points 7 and 14 days we also have:
> Depression scores
> Sexual dysfunction indicators
> Response indicators


## Example \#2

- Depression Study data
- Examine data for missing values


## Build Data Mining Database

- Collect data
- Describe data
- Select data
- Build metadata
- Integrate data
- Clean data
- Load the data mining database
- Maintain the data mining database


## Data Warehouse Architecture

- Reference: Data Warehouse from Architecture to Implementation by Barry Devlin, Addison Wesley, 1997
- Three tier data architecture
> Source data
$>$ Business data warehouse (BDW): the reconciled data that serves as a system of record
> Business information warehouse (BIW): the data warehouse you use


## (3) Data Mining BIW
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## Metadata

- The data survey describes the data set contents and characteristics
> Table name
> Description
$>$ Primary key/foreign key relationships
$>$ Collection information: how, where, conditions
> Timeframe: daily, weekly, monthly
$>$ Cosynchronus: every Monday or Tuesday


## Relational Data Bases

- Data are stored in tables

Items
ItemID
C56621
T35691
RS5292

| ItemName | price |
| :--- | ---: |
| top hat | 34.95 |
| cane | 4.99 |
| red shoes | 22.95 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| person name | ZIPCODE |
| Lyle | 19103 |
| Lyle | 19103 |
| dick | 01267 |

item bought T35691
135366
135366
259835
dick
01267
C56621
RS5292

## RDBMS Characteristics

- Advantages
> All major DBMSs are relational
- Flexible data structure
$>$ Standard language
$>$ Many applications can directly access RDBMSs
- Disadvantages
$>$ May be slow for data mining
$>$ Physical storage required
> Database administration overhead


## Data Selection

- Compute time is determined by the number of cases (rows), the number of variables (columns), and the number of distinct values for categorical variables
$>$ Reducing the number of variables
> Sampling rows
- Extraneous column can result in overfitting your data
> Employee ID is predictor of credit risk


## Sampling Is Ubiquitous

- The database itself is almost certainly a sample of some population
- Most model building techniques require separating the data into training and testing samples


## (2) Model Building

- Model building
> Train
$>$ Test
- Evaluate


## Overfitting in Regression

## Classical overfitting:

$>$ Fit 6th order polynomial to 6 data points


## © Overfitting

- Fitting non-explanatory variables to data
- Overfitting is the result of
$>$ Including too many predictor variables
$>$ Lack of regularizing the model
$\checkmark$ Neural net run too long
$\checkmark$ Decision tree too deep


## , <br> Avoiding Overfitting

- Avoiding overfitting is a balancing act
> Fit fewer variables rather than more
$>$ Have a reason for including a variable (other than it is in the database)
$>$ Regularize (don't overtrain)
> Know your field.


## All models should be as simple as possible but no simpler than necessary <br> Albert Einstein

## Evaluate the Model

- Accuracy
> Error rate
$>$ Proportion of explained variation
- Significance
$>$ Statistical
> Reasonableness
$>$ Sensitivity
$>$ Compute value of decisions
$\checkmark$ The "so what" test


## (3) Simple Validation

- Method : split data into a training data set and a testing data set. A third data set for validation may also be used
- Advantages: easy to use and understand. Good estimate of prediction error for reasonably large data sets
- Disadvantages: lose up to 20\%-30\% of data from model building


## (3) Train vs. Test Data Sets

| Train |  | Age | Income | Job Yrs | S OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 41 | 29,000 |  | 8 Y |
|  |  | 32 | 54,000 |  | 5 Y |
|  |  | 26 | 29,000 |  | 2 N |
| Test | Age | Income | Job Yrs | s OK | Model |
|  | 39 | 29,000 | 4 | $4 Y$ | N |
|  | 29 | 54,000 |  | 5 Y | Y |

## N-fold Cross Validation

- If you don't have a large amount of data, build a model using all the available data.
$>$ What is the error rate for the model?
- Divide the data into $\mathbf{N}$ equal sized groups and build a model on the data with one group left out.


## $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$

## N-fold Cross Validation

- The missing group is predicted and a prediction error rate is calculated
- This is repeated for each group in turn and the average over all N repeats is used as the model error rate
- Advantages: good for small data sets. Uses all data to calculate prediction error rate
- Disadvantages: lots of computing


## Regularization

- A model can be built to closely fit the training set but not the real data.
- Symptom: the errors in the training set are reduced, but increased in the test or validation sets.
- Regularization minimizes the residual sum of squares adjusted for model complexity.
- Accomplished by using a smaller decision tree or by pruning it. In neural nets, avoiding over-training.


## Example \#3

- Depression Study data
- Fit a tree to DRP using all the variables
$>$ Continue until the model won't let you fit any more
- Predict on the test set


## © <br> Opaque Data Mining Tools

- Visualization
- Regression
> Logistic regression
- Decision trees
- Clustering methods


## Black Box Data Mining Tools

- Neural networks
- K nearest neighbor
- K-means
- Support vector machines
- Genetic algorithms (not a modeling tool)


## "Toy" Problem
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## Linear Regression

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob $>\|t\|$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | -0.900 | 0.482 | -1.860 | 0.063 |
| x1 | 4.658 | 0.292 | 15.950 | $<.0001$ |
| x2 | 4.685 | 0.294 | 15.920 | $<.0001$ |
| x3 | -0.040 | 0.291 | -0.140 | 0.892 |
| x4 | 9.806 | 0.298 | 32.940 | $<.0001$ |
| x5 | 5.361 | 0.281 | 19.090 | $<.0001$ |
| x6 | 0.369 | 0.284 | 1.300 | 0.194 |
| x7 | 0.001 | 0.291 | 0.000 | 0.998 |
| x8 | -0.110 | 0.295 | -0.370 | 0.714 |
| x9 | 0.467 | 0.301 | 1.550 | 0.122 |
| x10 | -0.200 | 0.289 | -0.710 | 0.479 |

R-squared: 73.5\% Train 69.4\% Test

## Stepwise Regression

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | t Ratio | Prob $>\|t\|$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | -0.625 | 0.309 | -2.019 | 0.0439 |
| x1 | 4.619 | 0.289 | 15.998 | $<.0001$ |
| x2 | 4.665 | 0.292 | 15.984 | $<.0001$ |
| x4 | 9.824 | 0.296 | 33.176 | $<.0001$ |
| x5 | 5.366 | 0.28 | 19.145 | $<.0001$ |

R-squared $73.3 \%$ on Train $69.8 \%$ Test

## Stepwise 2ND Order Model

| Term | Estimate | Std Error | $t$ Ratio | Prob $>\|t\|$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | -2.074 | 0.248 | -8.356 | 0.000 |
| x1 | 4.352 | 0.182 | 23.881 | 0.000 |
| x2 | 4.726 | 0.183 | 25.786 | 0.000 |
| x3 | -0.503 | 0.182 | -2.769 | 0.006 |
| $(x 3-0.48517)^{*}(x 3-0.48517)$ | 20.450 | 0.687 | 29.755 | 0.000 |
| x4 | 9.989 | 0.186 | 53.674 | 0.000 |
| x5 | 5.185 | 0.176 | 29.528 | 0.000 |
| x9 | 0.391 | 0.188 | 2.084 | 0.038 |
| $(x 9-0.51161)^{*}(x 9-0.51161)$ | -0.783 | 0.743 | -1.053 | 0.293 |
| $(x 1-0.51811)^{*}(x 2-0.48354)$ | 8.815 | 0.634 | 13.910 | 0.000 |
| $(x 1-0.51811)^{*}(x 3-0.48517)$ | -1.187 | 0.648 | -1.831 | 0.067 |
| $(x 1-0.51811)^{*}(x 4-0.49647)$ | 0.925 | 0.653 | 1.416 | 0.157 |
| $(x 2-0.48354)^{*}(x 3-0.48517)$ | -0.626 | 0.634 | -0.988 | 0.324 |

R-squared 89.7\% Train
88.9\% Test

## (3) Next Steps

- Higher order terms?
- When to stop?
- Transformations?
- Too simple: underfitting - bias
- Too complex: inconsistent predictions, overfitting - high variance
- Selecting models is Occam's razor $>$ Keep goals of interpretation vs. prediction in mind


## (3)

## Logistic Regression

What happens if we use linear regression on 1-0 (yes/no) data?


## Example \#4

- Depression Study data
- Fit a linear regression to DRP using HAMA 14


## Logistic Regression II

- Points on the line can be interpreted as probability, but don't stay within $[0,1]$
- Use a sigmoidal function instead of linear function to fit the data




## Logistic Regression III



## Example \#5

- Depression Study data
- Fit a logistic regression to DRP using HAMA 14


## Regression - Summary

- Often works well
- Easy to use
- Theory gives prediction and confidence intervals
- Key is variable selection with interactions and transformations
- Use logistic regression for binary data


## Smoothing What's the Trend?

## Bivariate Fit of Euro/USD By Time



## - Scatterplot Smoother

## Bivariate Fit of Euro/USD By Time



## (3) Less Smoothing

## Usually these smoothers have choices on how much smoothing



## Example \#6

- Fit a linear regression to Euro Rate over time
- Fit a smoothing spline


## (3) Draft Lottery 1970



## © Draft Data Smoothed



## More Dimensions

- Why not smooth using 10 predictors?
> Curse of dimensionality
$>$ With 10 predictors, if we use $10 \%$ of each as a neighborhood, how many points do we need to get 100 points in cube?
$>$ Conversely, to get $10 \%$ of the points, what percentage do we need to take of each predictor?
> Need new approach


## * Additive Model

- Cant get

$$
\hat{y}=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)
$$

- So, simplify to:

$$
\hat{y}=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\ldots+f_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)
$$

- Each of the $f_{i}$ are easy to find
> Scatterplot smoothers


## © Create New Features

- Instead of original x's use linear combinations

$$
z_{i}=\alpha+b_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+b_{p} x_{p}
$$

$>$ Principal components
> Factor analysis
> Multidimensional scaling

## How To Find Features

- If you have a response variable, the question may change.
- What are interesting directions in the predictors?
$>$ High variance directions in X - PCA
$>$ High covariance with Y -- PLS
$>$ High correlation with Y -- OLS
$>$ Directions whose smooth is correlated with $y$ PPR


## Principal Components

- First direction has maximum variance
- Second direction has maximum variance of all directions perpendicular to first
- Repeat until there are as many directions as original variables
- Reduce to a smaller number
> Multiple approaches to eliminate directions


## (2) First Principal Component



## When Does This Work Well?

- When you have a group of highly correlated predictor variables
> Census information
$>$ History of past giving
$>10$ temperature sensors


## (3iplot



## (3dvantages of Projection

- Interpretation
- Dimension reduction
- Able to have more predictors than observations


## Disadvantages

- Lack of interpretation
- Linear


## © Going Non-linear

- The features are all linear:

$$
\hat{y}=b_{0}+b_{1} z_{1}+\ldots+b_{k} z_{k}
$$

- But you could also use them in an additive model:

$$
\hat{y}=f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\ldots+f_{p}\left(z_{p}\right)
$$

## Examples

- If the f's are arbitrary, we have projection pursuit regression
- If the f's are sigmoidal we have a neural network

$$
\hat{y}=\alpha+b_{1} s_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)+b_{2} s_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+\ldots+b_{p} s_{p}\left(z_{p}\right)
$$

$>$ The z's are the hidden nodes
$>$ The s's are the activation functions
$>$ The b's are the weights

## (3) Neural Nets

- Don't resemble the brain
> Are a statistical model
> Closest relative is projection pursuit regression



## History

- Biology
> Neurode (McCulloch \& Pitts, 1943)
> Theory of learning (Hebb, 1949)
- Computer science
> Perceptron (Rosenblatt, 1958) Adaline (Widrow, 1960)
> Perceptrons (Minsky \& Papert, 1969)
$>$ Neural nets (Rummelhart, others 1986)


## © A Single Neuron



## © Single Node

## Input to outer layer from "hidden node":

$$
I=z_{l}=\sum_{j} w_{1 j k} x_{j}+\theta_{l}
$$

Output:

$$
\hat{y}_{k}=h\left(z_{k l}\right)
$$

## © Layered Architecture



Hidden layer

## Neural Networks

Create lots of features - hidden nodes

$$
z_{l}=\sum_{j} w_{1 j k} x_{j}+\theta_{l}
$$

Use them in an additive model:
$\hat{y}_{k}=w_{21} h\left(z_{1}\right)+w_{22} h\left(z_{2}\right)+\ldots+\theta_{j}$

## (2) Put It Together

$$
\hat{y}_{k}=\widetilde{h}\left(\sum_{l} w_{2 k l} h\left(\sum_{j} w_{1 j k} x_{j}+\theta_{l}\right)+\theta_{j}\right)
$$

The resulting model is just a flexible nonlinear regression of the response on a set of predictor variables.

## Running a Neural Net



## (2) Predictions for Example



R $^{2} \quad$ 89.5\% Train 87.7\% Test

## (3) What Does This Get Us?

- Enormous flexibility
- Ability to fit anything
$>$ Including noise
> Not just the elephant the whole herd!
- Interpretation?



## Example \#7

- Fit a neural net to the "toy problem" data.
- Look at the profiler.
- How does it differ from the full regression model?


## Running a Training Session

- Initialize weights
$>$ Set range
$>$ Random initialization
$>$ With weights from previous training session
- An epoch is one time through every row in data set
$>$ Can be in random order or fixed order


## (2) Training the Neural Net

 Error as a function of training

## Stopping Rules

- Error (RMS) threshold
- Limit -- early stopping rule
$>$ Time
> Epochs
- Error rate of change threshold
$>$ E.G. No change in RMS error in 100 epochs
- Minimize error + complexity -- weight decay
> De Veaux et al Technometrics 1998


## (3) Neural Net Pro

- Advantages
> Handles continuous or discrete values
$>$ Complex interactions
$>$ In general, highly accurate for fitting due to flexibility of model
$>$ Can incorporate known relationships
$\checkmark$ So called grey box models
$\checkmark$ See De Veaux et al, Environmetrics 1999


## (8) Neural Net Con

- Disadvantages
$>$ Model is not descriptive (black box)
> Difficult, complex architectures
$>$ Slow model building
$>$ Categorical data explosion
> Sensitive to input variable selection


## (3) Decision Trees

Household Income > \$40000


On Job > 1 Yr

.07


Debt > \$10000

## Determining Credit Risk

- 11,000 cases of loan history
> 10,000 cases in training set
$\checkmark 7,500$ good risks
$\checkmark$ 2,500 bad risks
> 1,000 cases in test set
- Data available
> predictor variables
$\checkmark$ Income: continuous
$\checkmark$ Years at job: continuous
$\checkmark$ Debt: categorical (High, Low)
> response variable
$\checkmark$ Good risk: categorical (Yes, No)


## Find the First Split



## Find an Unsplit Node and Split It



## Find an Unsplit Node and Split It



## Class Assignment

- The tree is applied to new data to classify it
- A case or instance will be assigned to the largest (or modal) class in the leaf to which it goes
- Example:

- All cases arriving at this node would be given a value of "yes"


## (2) Tree Algorithms

- CART (Breiman, Friedman, Olshen, stone)
- C4.5, C5.0, cubist (Quinlan)
- CHAID
- Slip (IBM)
- Quest (SPSS)


## Decision Trees

- Find split in predictor variable that best splits data into heterogeneous groups
- Build the tree inductively basing future splits on past choices (greedy algorithm)
- Classification trees (categorical response)
- Regression tree (continuous response)
- Size of tree often determined by
 cross-validation


## (3) Geometry of Decision Trees



## Household Income

## (3) Two Way Tables -- Titanic

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Ticket Clas s |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crew | First | Second | Third | Total |  |
|  | Live d | 212 | 202 | 118 | 178 | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ |
|  | Died | 673 | 123 | 167 | 528 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 1}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 1}$ |

## Survivors



## Non-Survivors

Class


## (3) Mosaic Plot



## (3) Tree Diagram



## (3) Regression Tree



## (3) Tree Model



## Example \#8

- Fit a tree to the "toy problem data"
- Fit a tree to the Depression study data $>$ Fit various strategies for missing values


## © Tree Advantages

- Model explains its reasoning -- builds rules
- Build model quickly
- Handles non-numeric data
- No problems with missing data
$>$ Missing data as a new value
> Surrogate splits
- Works fine with many dimensions


## (3) What's Wrong With Trees?

- Output are step functions - big errors near boundaries
- Greedy algorithms for splitting - small changes change model
- Uses less data after every split
- Model has high order interactions -- all splits are dependent on previous splits
- Often non-interpretable


## MARS

- Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines
- What do they do?
$>$ Replace each step function in a tree model by a pair of linear functions.





## How Does It Work?

- Replace each step function by a pair of linear basis functions.
- New basis functions may or may not be dependent on previous splits.
- Replace linear functions with cubics after backward deletions.


## Algorithm Details

- Fit the response $y$ with a constant (i.e. Find its mean)
- Pick the variable and knot location which give the best fit in terms of residual sum of squares error.
- Repeat this process on every other variable. Limit typically on number of basis functions allowed.


## Details II

- Model has too many basis functions. Perform backward elimination of individual terms that do not improve the fit enough to justify the increased complexity.
- Fit the resulting model with a smooth function to avoid discontinuities.


## (3) MARS Output

| forward stepwise knot placement: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bas | (s) | gcv | \#indbsfns | \#efprms |  | knot | parent |
|  | O | 25.67 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 17.36 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 4. | 0.9308E-02 | 0. |
| 3 | 2 | 12.26 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 1. | 0.7059 | 0. |
| 5 | 4 | 7.794 | 5.0 | 21.0 | 2. | 0.6765 | 0. |
| 7 | 6 | 6.698 | 7.0 | 28.0 | 3. | 0.6465 | 1 |
| 9 | 8 | 5.701 | 9.0 | 35.0 | 5. | 0.3413 | 0. |
| 11 | 10 | 5.324 | 11.0 | 42.0 | 1 | 0.3754 | 4 |
| 13 | 12 | 5.052 | 13.0 | 49.0 | 3. | 0.3103 | 5. |
| 15 | 14 | 5.869 | 15.0 | 56.0 | 4. | 0.3269 | 2. |
| 17 | 16 | 6.998 | 17.0 | 63.0 | 1 | 0.5097 | 5. |
| 19 | 18 | 8.761 | 19.0 | 70.0 | 3. | 0.4290 | 0. |
| 21 | 20 | 11.59 | 21.0 | 77.0 | 3. | 0.8270 | 3. |
| 23 | 22 | 20.83 | 23.0 | 84.0 | 3. | 0.5001 | 2. |
| 25 | 24 | 58.24 | 25.0 | 91.0 | 10. | 0.2250 | 9. |
| 2 |  | 461.7 | 26.0 | 97.0 | 10. | 0.4740E-02 | 8. |

## - MARS Variable Importance



## (3) MARS Function Outnut <br> Curve 3: Pure Ordinal



## (2) Predictions for Example


$R^{2}=89.6 \%$ Training Set 89.0\% Test Set

## Example \#9

- Fit Mars to the "toy problem data"
- Compare to other models


## (3) Summary of MARS Features

- Produces smooth surface as a function of many predictor variables
- Automatically selects subset of variables
- Automatically selects complexity of model
- Tends to give low order interaction models preference
- Amount of smoothing and complexity may be tuned by user


## K-Nearest Neighbors(KNN)

- To predict $y$ for an $x$ :
$>$ Find the $k$ most similar $x$ 's
$>$ Average their $y^{\prime}$ s
- Find $\boldsymbol{k}$ by cross validation
- No training (estimation) required
- Works embarrassingly well
> Friedman, KDDM 1996


## Collaborative Filtering

- Goal: predict what movies people will like
- Data: list of movies each person has watched

| Lyle | Andre, Starwars |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ellen | Andre, Starwars, Hiver |
| Fred | Starwars, Batman |
| Dean | Starwars, Batman, Rambo |
| Jason | Emilie Poulin, Chocolat |

## Data Base

- Data can be represented as a sparse matrix

|  | Starwars | Batman | Rambo | Andre | Destin d'Emilie | Chocolat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lyle | y |  |  | y |  |  |
| Ellen | y |  |  | y | y |  |
| Fred | y | y |  |  |  |  |
| Dean | y | y | y |  |  |  |
| Jason | y |  |  |  | y | y |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Karen | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | y | ? | ? |

- Karen likes Andre. What else might she like?
- CDNow doubled e-mail responses


## Clustering

- Turn the problem around
- Instead of predicting something about a variable, use the variables to group the observations
> K-means
> Hierarchical clustering


## K-Means

- Rather than find the K nearest neighbors, find K clusters
- Problem is now to group observations into clusters rather than predict
- Not a predictive model, but a segmentation model


## Example

- Final Grades
> Homework
> 3 Midterms
$>$ Final
- Principal Components
> First is weighted average
$>$ Second is difference between 1 and 3 rd midterms and $2^{\text {nd }}$


## Scatterplot Matrix

## Scatterplot Matrix



## Principal Components

## Principal Components: on Correlations

| Eigenvalue | 2.7060 | 0.8074 | 0.6725 | 0.4823 | 0.3317 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 54.1200 | 16.1484 | 13.4499 | 9.6469 | 6.6349 |
| Cum Fercent | 54.1200 | 70.2683 | 83.7182 | 93.3651 | 100.0000 |
| Eigenvectors |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwiotal | 0.43295 | -0.24849 | -0.65677 | 0.55784 | 0.09094 |
| Widterm1 | 0.38549 | 0.74426 | 0.31141 | 0.35434 | 0.27378 |
| Widterm \#2 | 0.41892 | -0.68041 | 0.61664 | -0.05162 | 0.46697 |
| Widterm \#3 | 0.46495 | 0.20845 | -0.38284 | -0.74856 | 0.18296 |
| Final | 0.52181 | -0.06342 | 0.24122 | -0.01627 | -0.81562 |

## Cluster Means

## Cluster Means

| Cluster | HW Total | Midterm 1 | Midterm \#2 | Midterm \#3 | Final |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 183.833333 | 91.3333333 | 97.8333333 | 93.3333333 | 188 |
| 2 | 195.75 | 94.75 | 98.25 | 89 | 188 |
| 3 | 81 | 83 | 61 | 59 | 130.333333 |
| 4 | 169.234043 | 82.7446809 | 91.2978723 | 77.8085106 | 172 |
| 5 | 172.2 | 86.2 | 75.8 | 81 | 151.2 |
| 6 | 139 | 65 | 84 | 50 | 110 |
| 7 | 84.4 | 85.2 | 90.8 | 72.4 | 164.4 |
| 8 | 56 | 71 | 87 | 0 | 139 |

## Biplot



Eigenvalues
$\begin{array}{lllll}2.7059981 & 0.8074182 & 0.6724939 & 0.4823449 & 0.3317448\end{array}$

## Hierarchical Clustering

- Define distance between two observations
- Find closest observations and form a group
$>$ Add on to this to form hierarchy

3

## Grade Example



## Example

- Data on fifty states
- Find Clusters
- Examine Hierarchical Cluster
$>$ Do clusters make sense?
$>$ What did we learn?


## © Genetic Algorithms

- Genetic algorithms are a search procedure
- Part of the optimization toolbox
- Typically used on LARGE problems
$>$ Molecular chemistry - rational drug design
$>$ Survival of the fittest
- Can replace any optimization procedure $>$ May be very slow on moderate problems $>$ May not find optimal point


## Support Vector Machines

- Mainly a classifier although can be adapter for regression
- Black box
> Uses a linear combination of transformed features in very high dimensions to separate points
> Transformations (kernels) problem dependent
- Based on Vapnik's theory
> See Friedman, Hastie and Tibshirani for more


## Bagging and Boosting

- Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregation)
> Bootstrap a data set repeatedly
$>$ Take many versions of same model (e.g. tree)
> Form a committee of models
> Take majority rule of predictions
- Boosting
$>$ Create repeated samples of weighted data
$>$ Weights based on misclassification
> Combine by majority rule, or linear combination of predictions


## MART

- Boosting Version 1
$>$ Use logistic regression.
$>$ Weight observations by misclassification $\checkmark$ Upweight your mistakes
$>$ Repeat on reweighted data
> Take majority vote
- Boosting Version 2
> - use CART with 4-8 nodes
> Use new tree on residuals
$\Rightarrow$ Repeat many, many times
$>$ Take predictions to be the sum of all these trees


## Upshot of MART

- Robust - because of loss function and because we use trees
- Low interaction order because we use small trees (adjustable)
- Reuses all the data after each tree


## MART in action

Training and test absolute error


## More MART

Training and test absolute error


## MART summary

## TreeNet 4 Reports



## Single variable plots



## (8)

## Interaction order?




## MART Results



R squared 84.2\% Train 78.4\% Test

## How Do We Really Start?

- Life is not so kind
$>$ Categorical variables
$>$ Missing data
$>500$ variables, not 10
- 481 variables - where to start?


## Where to Start

- Three rules of data analysis
> Draw a picture
> Draw a picture
> Draw a picture
- Ok, but how?
> There are 90 histogram/bar charts and 4005 scatterplots to look at (or at least 90 if you look only at $y$ vs. $X$ )


## Exploratory Data Models

- Use a tree to find a smaller subset of variables to investigate
- Explore this set graphically
$>$ Start the modeling process over
- Build model
$>$ Compare model on small subset with full predictive model


## (3) More Realistic

- 200 predictors
- 10,000 rows
- Why is this still easy?
$>$ No missing values
$>$ All continuous predictors


## Start With a Simple Model

## - Tree?



## (2) Automatic Models

| K KXXEN Modeling Assistant | - - - $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contributions by Variables |  |

- KXEN

Chart type: Smart Variable Contributions


1 相
Help Cancel
Previous
Next
E. z JMPBeta - [trainfull- Distribution] $-\underline{\square}$

图 Eile Edit Iables Rows Cols DOE Analyze Graph Tools Yiew Window Help

-     - $\times$





## (3) MARS OUtPUt





Surface 1: Pure Ordinal


Surface 2: Pure Ordina

## © Variable Importance

| Relative Variable Importance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Cost of Omission | Importance | $\triangle$ |
| $\times 4$ | 9.479 | 100.000 | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| X1 | 7.863 | 89.726 | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| $\times 2$ | 7.585 | 87.839 | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| $\times 3$ | 3.294 | 50.381 | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| $\times 5$ | 3.292 | 50.347 | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||| |
| $\times 171$ | 1.191 | 0.856 |  |
| $\times 6$ | 1.191 | 0.000 |  |
| X7 | 1.191 | 0.000 | $\cdots$ |
| $\cdots$ |  | --- | $\checkmark$ |

## (3) Back to Real Problem

- Missing values
- Many predictors
- Coding issues


## (3) KXEN Variable Importance

## K KXEN Modeling Assistant

Contributions by Variables


## (2) With Correct Codings

| K KxEN Modeling Assistant $\quad$ - [a\|X |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Contributions by Variables


| Help $\quad$ Cancel |
| :---: | :---: |
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## - Lift Curve



## Exploratory Model



## © Tree Model

- Tree model on 40 key variables as indentified by KXEN
> Very similar performance to KXEN model
$>$ More coarse
$>$ Based only on
$\checkmark$ RFA_2
$\checkmark$ Lastdate
$\checkmark$ Nextdate
$\checkmark$ Lastgift
$\checkmark$ Cardprom


## © Tree vs. KXEN



## Is This the Answer?

- Actual question is to predict profit
$>$ Two stage model
$\checkmark$ Predict response (yes/no)
$\checkmark$ Then predict amount for responders
$>$ Use amounts as weights
$\checkmark$ Predict amount directly
$\checkmark$ Predict yes/no directly using amount as weight
- Start these models building on what we learned from simple models


## What Did We Learn?

- Toy problem
> Functional form of model
- PVA data
> Useful predictor - increased sales 40\%
- Insurance
$>$ Identified top 5\% of possibilities of losses
- Ingots
$>$ Gave clues as to where to look
$>$ Experimental design followed


# Interpretation or Prediction? Which Is Better? 

- None of the models represents reality
- All are models and therefore wrong
- Answer to which is better is completely situation dependent


## Why Interpretable?

- Depends on goal of project
- For routine applications goal may be predictive

- For breakthrough understanding, black box not enough


## (2) Spatial Analysis

- Warranty data showing problem with ink jet printer
- Black box model shows that zip code is most important predictor
$>$ Predictions very good
$>$ What do we learn?
$>$ Where do we go from here?



## (3) Zip Code?



## Data Mining - DOE Synergy

- Data Mining is exploratory
- Efforts can go on simultaneously
- Learning cycle oscillates naturally between the two


## (2) One at a Time Strategy

- Fixed Price
- Sent out 50,000 at Low Fee -- 50,000 at High Fee
- Estimated difference



## (3) Low Fees Gives 1\% Lift

Response By Fees


## Chemical Plant

- Current product within spec $30 \%$ of the time
- 12,000 lbs/hour of product
- 30 years worth of data
- 6000 input variables
- Find model to optimize production



## The Good News

- We used 2 plants, 2 scenarios each
>2 "good" runs, 2 "bad" runs each to maximize difference
- Each of four models fit very well - R^2 over 80\%



## The Bad News

- All four models were different
- No variables were the same
- The one variable known to be important (Methanol injection rate) didn't appear in models
- Models unable to predict outside their time period


## What really happened

- 6 months of incremental experimental design
- Increased specification percentage from 30\% to $45 \%$



## Challenges for data mining

- Not algorithms
- Overfitting
- Finding an interpretable model that fits reasonably well


## Recap

- Problem formulation
- Data preparation
$>$ Data definitions
$>$ Data cleaning
$>$ Feature creation, transformations
- EDM - exploratory modeling
$>$ Reduce dimensions


## - Recap II

- Graphics
- Second phase modeling
- Testing, validation, implementation


## (8) Which Method(s) to Use?

- No method is best
- Which methods work best when?


## Competition Details

- Ten real data sets from chemistry and chemical engineering literature
$>$ No missing data
$>$ No replication of predictor points
- Methodology
$>$ Cross validated accuracy on predicted values (AVG RSS over CV samples)
$>$ Cross validation used to select parameters $\checkmark$ Size of network, \# of components for PCR


## The Data Sets

| Name | Description | n | p | r | VIF max |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gambino | chemical analysis | 37 | 4 | 2 | 2.12 |
| Benzyl | Chemical analysis | 68 | 4 | 1 | 3.52 |
| CIE | Wine testing for color | 58 | 3 | 1 | 17.74 |
| Periodic | Periodic table analysis | 54 | 10 | 3 | 25.69 |
| Venezia | Water quality analysis | 156 | 15 | 1 | 147.62 |
| Wine | Wine quality analysis | 38 | 17 | 3 | 24.95 |
| Polymer | Polymerization process | 61 | 10 | 4 | $\infty$ |
| 3M | NIR for adhesive tape | 34 | 219 | 2 | $\infty$ |
| NIR | NIR for soybeans | 60 | 175 | 3 | $\infty$ |
| Runpa | NIR for composite <br> material | 45 | 466 | 2 | $\infty$ |

## Nonlinear methods vs. best linear

Figure 2: Performance of Nonlinear Methods UKNN, RBF, FFNN and MARS Compared to PCA


## Hybrid methods

Figure 4: FFNNL and PCA-FFNNL for Each Data Set


## © Summary of Results

- Many data sets one encounters in Chemometrics are inherently linear
$>$ Linear methods work well for these!
$>$ Hence the historical success and popularity of such methods as PLS
- When data sets are linear, CV R2 > .70, non-linear methods perform worse than linear methods
- But when CV R2<.40, non-linear methods may perform much better


## Summary Continued

- Hybrid methods -- those starting with linear (e.g. PCR) and then using a nonlinear method on the residuals always does well


## Recommendations

- Start linear
- Assess linearity -- CV R2?
- Consider a nonlinear method
> Black box -- RBF NN or FF nn
> Opaque -- MARS, KNN?
- Consider the nonlinear method on the residuals from the linear method
- Cross validate!



## Churches - First Steps

- Select Test and Training sets
- Look at data
> Transform Loss Ratio?
> Categorize Loss Ratio?
> Outliers
- Tree



## First Tree



## Unusable Predictors

- Size of policy not of use in determining likely high losses
- Decided to eliminate all policy size predictors


## Next Tree



## Contributions by Variables



## Churches - Next Steps

- Investigated
$>$ Sources of missing
$>$ Interactions
$>$ Nonlinearities
- Response
> Loss Ratio
$>\log$ LR
$>$ Categories
$>0-1$
$>$ Direct Profit
$>$ Two Stage - Loss and Severity
project - Clementine 7.0
File Edit Insert View Tools SuperNode Window Help

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |



## Working Model

- Outliers influenced any assessment of expected loss ratio severely
- Eliminated top 15 outliers from test and train
- Randomly assigned train and test multiple times
> Two stage model
$\checkmark$ Positive loss (Tree)
$\checkmark$ Severity on positive cases (Tree)
- Consistently identified top few percentiles of high losses
- Estimated savings in low millions of dollars/year


## Opportunities

- Predictive model can tell us
$>$ Who
$>$ What factors
- Sensitivity analysis can help us even with black box models
- Causality?
> Experimental Design


## Data Mining Tools

- Software for specific methods
$>$ Neural nets or trees or regression or association rules
- General tool packages
- Vertical package solutions


## General Data Mining Tools

- Examples
> SAS: Enterprise Miner
>SPSS: Clementine
- Characteristics
$>$ Neural nets, decision trees, nearest neighbors, etc
> Nice GUI
$\Rightarrow$ Assume data is clean and in a nice tabular form


## State of the Market

- Good products are available
> Strong model building
> Fair deployment
>Poor data preparation (except KXEN)
- Products differ in size of data sets they handle
- Performance often depends on undocumented feature selection


## (3) Next Steps

- Time to start getting experience
> Develop a strategy
$>$ Set up a research group
$>$ Select a pilot project
$\checkmark$ Control scope
$\checkmark$ Minimize data problems
$\checkmark$ Real value to solution but not mission critical
- Communication
$>$ Statisticians as partners
> Statisticians as consultants and teachers
- Enormous opportunity


## © Take Home Messages I

- You have more data than you think $>$ Save it and use it $>$ Let non-statisticians use it
- Data preparation is most of the work
- Dealing with missing values


## (3) Take Home Messages II

- What to do first?
>Use a (tree)
- Which algorithm to use?
$>$ All- this is the fun part, but beware of overfitting
- Results
$>$ Keep goals in mind
$>$ Test models in real situations


## For More Information

- Two Crows
> http//www.twocrows.com
- KDNuggets
> http://www.kdnuggets.com
M. Berry and G. Linoff, Data Mining Techniques,John Wiley, 1997
J. Friedman, T. Hastie and R. Tibshirani, The Elements of Statistical Learning, Springer-Verlag, 2001
U. Fayyad, Piatetsky-Shapiro, Smyth, and Uthurusamy, Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, MIT press, 1996
Dorian Pyle, Data Preparation for Data Mining, Morgan Kaufmann, 1999
C. Westphal and T. Blaxton, Data Mining Solutions, John Wiley, 1998
Vasant Dhar and Roger Stein, Seven methods for transforming corporate data into business intelligence, Prentice Hall 1997
David J. Hand, H. Mannila, P. Smyth, Principles of Data Mining, MIT Press, 2001

