

NAME:

Solutions

Warm-up First, we consider the usual family of exponential distributions. For any $\theta > 0$, we consider the pdf $x \mapsto f(x; \theta)$, defined for $x \in [0, +\infty)$ by $f(x; \theta) := \theta e^{-\theta x}$. We let θ_* be the true, unknown value of the parameter, and X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables distributed with common pdf $x \mapsto f(x; \theta_*)$.

1. Compute the mean and the variance of a random variable with pdf $x \mapsto f(x; \theta_*)$.

Mean :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \theta_* e^{-\theta_* x} x dx \stackrel{(u = \theta_* x)}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} u e^{-u} \frac{1}{\theta_*} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta_*} \int_0^{+\infty} u e^{-u} du \stackrel{(IBP)}{=} \frac{1}{\theta_*} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} + e^{-u} du + [-u e^{-u}]_0^{+\infty} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta_*} [-e^{-u}]_0^{+\infty} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\theta_*}}$$

Second moment :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \theta_* e^{-\theta_* x} x^2 dx \stackrel{(u = \theta_* x)}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} u^2 e^{-u} \frac{1}{\theta_*^2} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta_*^2} \int_0^{+\infty} u^2 e^{-u} du \stackrel{(IBP)}{=} \frac{1}{\theta_*^2} \left(2 \int_0^{+\infty} u e^{-u} du + [-u^2 e^{-u}]_0^{+\infty} \right)$$

So Variance = $\frac{2}{\theta_*^2} - \left(\frac{1}{\theta_*}\right)^2 = \boxed{\frac{1}{\theta_*^2}}$

2. Define the empirical mean \hat{m}_n of X_1, \dots, X_n .

$$\hat{m}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

3. State an asymptotic normality result for \hat{m}_n . Make sure that your statement is complete, and includes an explicit expression for the expectation/variance that appear; the mode of convergence etc.

By the CLT, we know that

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{m}_n - \mathbb{E}[X]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ in distribution. Here we have}$$

$$\theta_* \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{m}_n - \frac{1}{\theta_*} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

4. Compute the max-likelihood estimator for θ_* .

Likelihood function $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta X_i}$

log-likelihood $\log \mathcal{L}_n(\theta) = n \log \theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

Derivative $(\log \mathcal{L}_n)'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$= 0$ for $\theta = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i}$, unique maximum.

So MLE $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i}$

Justify, briefly but precisely, why the following convergences hold:

$$\widehat{FM}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} FM \text{ in probability, } \widehat{SM}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} SM \text{ in probability.}$$

This is a direct consequence of the law of large numbers, applied first to the ^{i.i.d.} variables X_1, \dots, X_n , for which we obtain

$$\widehat{FM}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{IP} E[X] = FM$$

and to the iid variables X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2 , for which we obtain

$$\widehat{SM}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{IP} E[X^2] = SM.$$

4. The method of moments suggests to consider the following estimators for p_* , q_* :

$$\hat{p}_n := 1 + \frac{\widehat{SM}_n - 3\widehat{FM}_n}{2}, \quad \hat{q}_n := 2\widehat{FM}_n - \widehat{SM}_n.$$

Prove that \hat{p}_n is an unbiased, consistent estimator of p_* and that \hat{q}_n is an unbiased, consistent estimator of q_* .

Hint: unbiasedness can be proven directly. For consistency, you may want to use the result of the previous question.

• About \hat{p}_n

$$E[\hat{p}_n] = 1 + \frac{1}{2} (E[\widehat{SM}_n] - 3E[\widehat{FM}_n])$$

$$\text{We have } E[\widehat{SM}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] = SM$$

$$E[\widehat{FM}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = FM$$

$$\text{so } E[\hat{p}_n] = 1 + \frac{1}{2} (SM - 3FM) = p_* \quad (\text{according to Q. 2})$$

\hat{p}_n is an unbiased estimator of p_* .

By Q. 3 we know $\widehat{SM}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{IP} SM$ and $\widehat{FM}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{IP} FM$

$$\text{So } \hat{p}_n = 1 + \frac{\widehat{SM}_n - 3\widehat{FM}_n}{2} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{IP} 1 + \frac{SM - 3FM}{2} = p_*$$

\hat{p}_n is a consistent estimator of p_* .

The "multinoulli" distribution For any choice of two parameters p, q in the interval $(0, 1)$ such that $0 < p + q < 1$, we consider a random variable X distributed according to the "multinoulli distribution" $\mathbb{P}_{p,q}$. It has three possible outcomes: 0, 1, or 2 and we let

$$\mathbb{P}_{p,q}(X=0) = p, \quad \mathbb{P}_{p,q}(X=1) = q, \quad \mathbb{P}_{p,q}(X=2) = 1 - (p+q).$$

Let p_*, q_* be fixed, unknown parameters (the "real ones"), that we now want to estimate.

1. Compute the first moment $FM := \mathbb{E}_{p_*,q_*}[X]$ and the second moment $SM := \mathbb{E}_{p_*,q_*}[X^2]$.

$$FM = 0 \cdot p_* + 1 \cdot q_* + 2 \cdot (1 - (p_* + q_*)) = q_* + 2 - 2p_* - 2q_*$$

$$\boxed{FM = 2 - 2p_* - q_*}$$

$$SM = 0^2 \cdot p_* + 1^2 \cdot q_* + 2^2 \cdot (1 - (p_* + q_*)) = q_* + 4 - 4p_* - 4q_*$$

$$\boxed{SM = 4 - 4p_* - 3q_*}$$

2. Show that we have the relations

$$p_* = 1 + \frac{SM - 3FM}{2}, \quad q_* = 2FM - SM.$$

We can solve the linear system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} FM = 2 - 2p_* - q_* \\ SM = 4 - 4p_* - 3q_* \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} 2FM = 4 - 4p_* - 2q_* \\ \text{so } \boxed{2FM - SM = q_*} \end{array}$$

and ~~also~~ also $3FM = 6 - 6p_* - 3q_*$

so $SM - 3FM = -2 + 2p_*$

and thus $\boxed{p_* = 1 + \frac{SM - 3FM}{2}}$

3. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables distributed according to \mathbb{P}_{p_*,q_*} . We let

$$\widehat{FM}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad \widehat{SM}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

• About \hat{q}_n

$$E[\hat{q}_n] = \cancel{2E[\hat{F}M_n]} - E[\hat{S}M_n] = 2FM - SM = q_*$$

using previous computation

→ \hat{q}_n is an unbiased estimator of q_* .

$$\text{Also } \hat{q}_n = 2\hat{F}M_n - \hat{S}M_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{IP}} 2FM - SM \text{ using Q. 3}$$

So \hat{q}_n is a consistent estimator of q_* .

Do it yourself: a fallible, fair coin. We consider the following situation: a rudimentary computer is programmed as a "random number generator". Each time that we run the program, it answers 0 or 1 with equal probability. However, once in a while, something goes wrong, in which case the output of the program is $\frac{1}{2}$.

We let R_1, \dots, R_n be the results obtained in the course of n runs, we assume that the results are independent. We let p_* be the (unknown) probability that the program "fails" and answers $\frac{1}{2}$.

Frame the problem as a parametric statistical model. **Design** an estimator for p_* (there is more than one possible answer) and **justify**, concisely but precisely, its properties: (asymptotic) unbiasedness, consistency, asymptotic normality... ?

p_* = probability it fails and answers $\frac{1}{2}$. R = result.

$$P(R=0) = P(R=1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{2}; \quad P(R=\frac{1}{2}) = p_*$$

$$P(R=0) = P(R=1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{2}; \quad P(R=\frac{1}{2}) = p_*$$

Family indexed by p_* .

Estimator for p_* ? ~~...~~

Compute for example $E[R^2]$ (second moment)

$$E[R^2] = 0^2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{2}) + 1^2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{2}) + (\frac{1}{2})^2 \cdot p_*$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{2} + \frac{1}{4} p_* = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_*}{4}$$

So $p_* = 4E[R^2] - 2$ we can use method of moments

$$\hat{P}_n := 4 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^2 - 2 \text{ estimator.}$$

$$E[\hat{P}_n] = 4E[R^2] - 2 = p_* \text{ unbiased } \checkmark$$

Law of large number

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{IP}} E[R^2] \text{ so}$$

$$\hat{P}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{IP}} 4E[R^2] - 2 = p_*$$

consistent \checkmark

CLT ensures

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^2 - E[R^2]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(R^2)}} \sqrt{n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

So

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{P}_n - E[R^2]}{4 \sqrt{\text{Var}(R^2)}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1) \quad \text{asymptotically normal}$$

(\hat{P}_n can be computed if needed)

Other solutions:

- Using the empirical pdf by simply counting how many times we get $1/2$, and dividing by n .
- ~~MLE~~ MLE
- ...